

Clinical Updates for Nurse Practitioners and Physician Assistants: 2017

Activity Evaluation Summary

CME Activity: Clinical Updates for Nurse Practitioners and

Physician Assistants

Saturday, November 4, 2017

3315 Scott Futrell Dr Charlotte, NC 28208

Course Directors: Deborah Paschal, CRNP and Gregg Sherman, MD

Date of Evaluation Summary: January 4, 2018



300 NW 70th Avenue • Plantation, Florida 33317 (954) 723-0057 Phone • (954) 723-0353 Fax email: info@naceonline.com

In November 2017, the National Association for Continuing Education (NACE) sponsored a live CME activity, Clinical Updates for Nurse Practitioners and Physician Assistants: 2017, in Charlotte, NC.

This educational activity was designed to provide nurse practitioners and physician assistants the opportunity to learn about diagnosis and management of patients with varied conditions such as Lipids, Heart Failure, Bipolar Disorder, Diabetes on Insulin therapy and ADHD.

In planning this CME activity, the NACE performed a needs assessment. A literature search was conducted, national guidelines were reviewed, survey data was analyzed, and experts in each therapeutic area were consulted to determine gaps in practitioner knowledge, competence or performance.

Two hundred ninety-two healthcare practitioners registered to attend Clinical Updates for Nurse Practitioners and Physician Assistants: 2017 in Charlotte, NC and two hundred eighteen registered to participate in the live program. One hundred seven attended the conference in Charlotte, NC. Each attendee was asked to complete and return an activity evaluation form prior to the end of the conference. One hundred and six completed forms were received. The data collected is displayed in this report

CME ACCREDITATION



The Association of Black Cardiologists, Inc. is accredited by the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education (ACCME) to provide continuing medical education for physicians.

The Association of Black Cardiologists, Inc. designates this educational activity for a maximum of 2.25 AMA PRA Category 1 CreditsTM. Physicians should claim only the credit commensurate with the extent of their participation in the activity.



The National Association for Continuing Education is accredited by the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education to provide continuing medical education for physicians.

The National Association for Continuing Education designates this live activity for a maximum of 3.75 AMA PRA Category 1 CreditsTM. Physicians should claim only the credit commensurate with the extent of their participation in the activity.

PROVIDER American Association of NURSE PRACTITIONERS

National Association for Continuing Education is approved as a provider of nurse practitioner continuing education by the American Association of

Nurse Practitioners. AANP Provider Number 121222. This program has been approved for 6 contact hours of continuing education (which includes 2.5 pharmacology hours).

Integrated Item Analysis Report

What is your professional degree?

Response	Frequency	Percent	
NP	72	67.92	
PA	19	17.92	
RN	2	1.89	
MD	4	3.77	
DO	1	0.94	
Other	4	3.77	
No Response	4	3.77	

Indicate the number of patients you see each week in a clinical setting regarding each therapeutic area listed: Hyperlipidemia:

Response	Frequency	Percent	
None	14	13.21	
1-5	12	11.32	
6-10	12	11.32	
11-15	12	11.32	
16-20	6	5.66	
21-25	19	17.92	
>25	30	28.30	
No Response	1	0.94	

Indicate the number of patients you see each week in a clinical setting regarding each therapeutic area listed: Heart Failure:

Response	Frequency	Percent	
None	22	20.75	
1-5	31	29.25	
6-10	21	19.81	
11-15	10	9.43	
16-20	10	9.43	
21-25	4	3.77	
>25	7	6.60	
No Response	1	0.94	

Indicate the number of patients you see each week in a clinical setting regarding each therapeutic area listed: ADHD:

Response	Frequency	Percent	
None	35	33.02	
1-5	34	32.08	
6-10	16	15.09	
11-15	7	6.60	
16-20	8	7.55	
21-25	0	0.00	
>25	5	4.72	
No Response	1	0.94	

Indicate the number of patients you see each week in

a clinical setting regarding each therapeutic area listed: Diabetes on Insulin therapy:

Response	Frequency	Percent	
None	16	15.09	
1-5	18	16.98	
6-10	12	11.32	
11-15	17	16.04	
16-20	13	12.26	
21-25	13	12.26	
>25	16	15.09	
No Response	1	0.94	

Upon completion of this activity, I can now: Review current recommendations for the use of non-statin therapies in the management of dyslipidemia; Explain the role of anti-PCSK9 monoclonal antibody therapy in LDL-C reduction to achieve cardiovascular risk reduction; Describe the findings from recent trials of dyslipidemia treatments on cardiovascular outcomes; Integrate new data into treatment strategies for further improving cardiovascular outcomes in the highest risk patients.

Response	Frequency	Percent	i
Yes	105	99.06	
Somewhat	1	0.94	
Not at all	0	0.00	
No Response	0	0.00	

Upon completion of this activity, I can now: Recognize the different phenotypic presentations of HF; Identify predictors of poor outcomes in HF; Discuss the role of new therapies in the management of chronic HF according to the latest ACC/AHA/HFSA/ADA guidelines; Recognize strategies to reduce hospitalization for HF.

Response	Frequency	Percent	
Yes	100	94.34	
Somewhat	5	4.72	
Not at all	1	0.94	

Upon completion of this activity, I can now: Recognize the high prevalence of bipolar disorder in patients who experience depression; Describe the high rate of misdiagnosis of patients with BD; Develop a knowledge of the key criteria that differentiate unipolar depression from BD; Provide information and tools for successful screening and recognition of patients with BD.

Response	Frequency	Percent	
Yes	97	91.51	
Somewhat	5	4.72	
Not at all	0	0.00	
No Response	4	3.77	

Overall, this was an excellent CME activity:

Response	Frequency	Percent	
Strongly Agree	97	91.51	
Agree	8	7.55	
Neutral	1	0.94	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Strongly	0	0.00	
Disagree			
No Response	0	0.00	

As a result of this activity, I have learned new and useful strategies for patient care:

Frequency	Percent	t
95	89.62	
8	7.55	
0	0.00	
0	0.00	
1	0.94	
2	1.89	
	95 8 0 0 1	95 89.62 8 7.55 0 0.00 0 0.00 1 0.94

Upon completion of this activity, I can now: Recognize the pervasive nature of ADHD symptoms throughout the day; Describe the physical and psychologic morbidity and mortality associated with ADHD; Use adult ADHD assessment and treatment tools to measure residual symptoms and optimize outcomes; Implement pharmacologic treatment to optimize symptom control throughout the day.

Response	Frequency	Percent	
Yes	101	95.28	
Somewhat	5	4.72	
Not at all	0	0.00	

Upon completion of this activity, I can now: Describe the role of insulin therapy in patients with T2DM not meeting glycemic goals; Discuss the need for concentrated insulins in T2DM management; Discuss the pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic profiles and other considerations for the use of concentrated insulin preparations; Recognize the need for counseling patients about concentrated insulins to minimize dosing errors.

Response	Frequency	Percent	
Yes	89	83.96	
Somewhat	9	8.49	
Not at all	0	0.00	
No Response	8	7.55	

Overall, this activity was effective in improving my knowledge in the content areas presented:

Response	Frequency	Percent	
Strongly Agree	95	89.62	
Agree	9	8.49	
Neutral	0	0.00	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Strongly	0	0.00	
Disagree			
No Response	2	1.89	

How likely are you to implement these new strategies in your practice?

Response	Frequency	Percent	
Very likely	83	78.30	
Somewhat likely	10	9.43	
Unlikely	0	0.00	
Not applicable	10	9.43	
No Response	3	2.83	

As a result of this activity, I have learned new strategies for patient care. List these strategies:

Response

Giving screening tools and evaluating tools. Lower LDL now

Use of PSCK9's. Improved knowledge of insulin use. ADHD screening tools

Starting insulin when 2 meds not working

Use ASCUD screen more routinely

New tools to recognize bipolar. Will follow guidelines for HF

Lipid treatment

Screen for bipolar

Will more often calculate ASCVD risk for more patients. The importance of using screening questionnaires

Implement the new updates

Up to date guidelines for heart disease. How to more effectively Dx bipolar disease

Use ASCVD calculator for initiating and treatment of lipids. Use guidelines in treatment of patients with heart failure. Use diagnostic tools and history to diagnose ADHD

To use risk assessment calculator for CVD

Educate my patients

Management of HF

Statin management

Dx ADHD utilizing questionnaires

I know more about HF, lipids, ADHD, bipolar so when I see patients with these conditions, I may be able to provide more support

Calculate risk factors for ASCVD. Utilize ADHD SRS when screening patients for ADHD. Initiate insulin sooner for therapeutic goal

Screen more for bipolar disorder. Consider concentrated insulins

New understanding of Entresto and Corlanol for HF

How to appropriately prescribe new HF meds

Will add high statins

Testing and screening patients for ADHD/ADD

Step therapy recommendations for pharmacological therapies

Use of PCSK9 in LDL. Assess impact of insulin therapy within 6 weeks

Use of non-statin meds in hyperlipidemia. Screen for bipolar in patients with depression

Statin use, HF management, ADH screening and insulin use

Paving more attention to patient medications

Pharmacological treatments, additional testing modalities. Scientific data to support decision making and treatment applications

R/O bipolar disorder before treating depression. Use high intensity statin therapy. Lower LDL as much as possible

Start using the ASCVD risk estimator. Screen adults for ADHD

All providers need updates

Earlier initiation of insulin. Rule out bipolar on all depressed patients

Treatment of adult ADHD

Increase utilization of ADHD screening tools

When to add PCSK-9 inhibitor and how low to lower LDL. How to classify HF easily and not be overwhelmed about it

R/O bipolar disorder before diagnosing depression

Labs each visit

Alternative strategies for lipid management. Will more closely monitor HF patients especially those with new Dx to improve outcomes. Better screening for depressed patients. Will screen for ADHD in more patients

I have limited opportunities to use these strategies because I work primarily in occupational health

Quicker to utilize PSV-9 drugs

As a result of this activity, I have learned new strategies for patient care. List these strategies:

Response

Learning about appropriate strategies for appropriate diagnosis of ADHD management of abnormal lipids, heart failure and insulin management

Assessment and effective treatment of hyperlipidemia

New LDL guidelines. New heart failure meds and iron therapy

BP control and reducing hospitalization

How to treat LDL. Treatment guidelines for congestive heart failure. How to Dx and treat ADHD

PLSK-9 therapy

Screening for bipolar

Target goal of LDL and ADHD screening tool

Updated screening tools for ADHD for adults and for bipolar depression. Choosing statin meds. Determining HF type and stages

Appropriate screening tools for ADHD

Screen for depression and bipolar frequently

Assessing ADHD and bipolar

New medications, new guidelines to go by

MDQ questionnaire screening for bipolar. Utilize PSK-9 inhibitors more

Use of PCK-9 inhibitors

Lower target LDL on patient's with high risk CVD. Screen more patients for ADHD and bipolar disorders

Understanding the two new cholesterol medications. Much better understanding of bipolar

Hypothyroidism can mimic HF

New guidelines in LDL lowering and treatment considerations. More screening for ADHD in adults

New assessment skills and management

Heart failure staging and management

Better understanding of where to use newer agents for cholesterol and heart failure

Bipolar screening and ADHD screening

Screening surveys and cardiac risk evaluation

Better management of dislipidemia screening

Check for bipolar

Screening every patient for ADHD and bipolar

Use the CVD calculator on all of my patients. Implementing new LDL goals and medication changes needed

When do you intend to implement these new strategies into your practice?

Response	Frequency	Percent	
Within 1 month	79	74.53	
1-3 months	9	8.49	
4-6 months	2	1.89	
Not applicable	13	12.26	
No Response	3	2.83	

In terms of delivery of the presentation, please rate the effectiveness of the speaker: Keith Ferdinand, MD, FACC - Lipids:

Response	Frequency	Percent	
Excellent	103	97.17	
Very Good	1	0.94	
Good	1	0.94	
Fair	0	0.00	
Unsatisfactory	0	0.00	
No Response	1	0.94	

In terms of delivery of the presentation, please rate the effectiveness of the speaker: Keith Ferdinand, MD, FACC - Heart Failure:

Response	Frequency	Percent	t
Excellent	103	97.17	
Very Good	1	0.94	
Good	1	0.94	
Fair	0	0.00	
Unsatisfactory	0	0.00	
No Response	1	0.94	

In terms of delivery of the presentation, please rate the effectiveness of the speaker: Brendan Montano, MD - Bipolar Disorder:

Response	Frequency	Percent	
Excellent	77	72.64	
Very Good	16	15.09	
Good	2	1.89	
Fair	1	0.94	
Unsatisfactory	0	0.00	
No Response	10	9.43	

To what degree do you believe that the subject matter was presented fair, balanced, and free of commercial bias? Keith Ferdinand, MD, FACC - Lipids:

Response	Frequency	Percent	
Excellent	99	93.40	
Very Good	3	2.83	
Good	2	1.89	
Fair	0	0.00	
Unsatisfactory	1	0.94	
No Response	1	0.94	

To what degree do you believe that the subject matter was presented fair, balanced, and free of commercial bias? Brendan Montano, MD - ADHD:

Response	Frequency	Percent	
Excellent	96	90.57	
Very Good	7	6.60	
Good	0	0.00	
Fair	1	0.94	
Unsatisfactory	1	0.94	
No Response	1	0.94	

In terms of delivery of the presentation, please rate the effectiveness of the speaker: Brendan Montano, MD - ADHD:

Response	Frequency	Percent	
Excellent	79	74.53	
Very Good	20	18.87	
Good	4	3.77	
Fair	1	0.94	
Unsatisfactory	0	0.00	
No Response	2	1.89	

In terms of delivery of the presentation, please rate the effectiveness of the speaker: Mark Stolar, MD - Diabetes:

Response	Frequency	Percent	
Excellent	75	70.75	
Very Good	13	12.26	
Good	1	0.94	
Fair	0	0.00	
Unsatisfactory	1	0.94	
No Response	16	15.09	

To what degree do you believe that the subject matter was presented fair, balanced, and free of commercial bias? Keith Ferdinand, MD, FACC - Heart Failure:

Response	Frequency	Percent	
Excellent	101	95.28	
Very Good	2	1.89	
Good	1	0.94	
Fair	0	0.00	
Unsatisfactory	1	0.94	
No Response	1	0.94	

To what degree do you believe that the subject matter was presented fair, balanced, and free of commercial bias? Brendan Montano, MD - Bipolar Disorder:

Response	Frequency	Percent	
Excellent	88	83.02	
Very Good	5	4.72	
Good	0	0.00	
Fair	0	0.00	
Unsatisfactory	1	0.94	
No Response	12	11.32	

To what degree do you believe that the subject matter was presented fair, balanced, and free of commercial bias? Mark Stolar, MD - Diabetes:

Response	Frequency	Percent	
Excellent	83	78.30	
Very Good	6	5.66	
Good	0	0.00	
Fair	0	0.00	
Unsatisfactory	1	0.94	
No Response	16	15.09	

Which statement(s) best reflects your reasons for participating in this activity:

Response	Frequency	Percent	
Topics covered	80	75.47	
Location/ease of access	71	66.98	
Faculty	13	12.26	
Earn CME credits	84	79.25	
No Response	1	0.94	

Future CME activities concerning this subject matter are necessary:

Response	Frequency	Percent	
Strongly agree	66	62.26	
Agree	31	29.25	
Neutral	5	4.72	
Disagree	1	0.94	
Strongly	0	0.00	
Disagree			
No Response	3	2.83	

What topics would you like to see offered as CME activities in the future?

Anything Psych
Migraine treatment, IBS, joint pain treatments
Hypogonadism, obesity treatment, CKD, pain management
OB Gyn
CHF, COPD
Chronic obstructive lung disease and geriatric focus
Lifestyle changes
Dementia and schizophrenia
Dermatology, asthma
CVA, HD
Kidney disease, hepatitis C, opioid use
Hematology, treatment of chronic pain and anxiety disorders
Birth control options and HTN
Dermatology
Opioid use, chronic pain
Migraine, infectious diseases, lyme disease and depression
Mental health
Diabetes, menopause, dermatology, IBS,
Anything other than dermatology
Asthma, COPD, and obesity
Skills workshop if possible
Integrative medicine holistic
SSRI treatment
HTN, anemia, DUB, and anxiety

Response

What topics would you like to see offered as CME activities in the future?

Response
Just pharmacology
Anemias
Management of HIV/HCV and dermatology
COPD and pulmonology
Lupus, OA and RA
CKD, depression, pain management, psych topics
More topics on general neurology
Sleep apnea and RLS
CHF, stroke, COPD, asthma
Pharmacological advancements, sports medicines
Insomnia
RA
Anemias
More on diabetes management contraceptive options
Obesity and metabolic syndrome
Diabetes management guidelines
Diabetes
Narcotic meds
Mental health topics
Atrial fibrillation
CKD, endocrine disorders, liver disease, IBS
Weight loss, HTN, Asthma
HTN, skin disorders, most common condition seen in primary care clinics
Women's health and OB/GYN
Gyn issues, pain management and alternative modalities
Pulmonary hypertension
Diabetes type 2
Managing HTN, DM with CKD
Renal and liver meds, COPD and asthma
Treatment options for various psychiatric disorder
Endocrine disorders, renal failure
Weight management, dementia and Alzheimer's
COPD management and work up
Anxiety/depression
Pain management, alternative medicine and oncology
Most current guidelines for ACS
Depression and anxiety
RA and lupus
HIV and hepatitis C education
Psychiatric topics
Treatment COPD
ADHD, depression and asthma
Dementia
Thyroid and urology topics
Parkinson's disease, movement disorders
Bipolar and depression

What topics would you like to see offered as CME activities in the future?

Response

Management of diabetes and following ACE guidelines

Additional comments:

Response

Insulin talk should come before lunch or in place of ADHD talk. Needed much more time than ADHD and bipolar disorder

Overall very well presented and excellent information presented

Wonderful CME-better than many I pay for!

Always a great conference. Good topics and speakers and well organized. Not too expensive!

Very beneficial, please continue to offer it

Thank you!

Better lunch options-could not order off menu only buffet options

Great conference! Love the location! Each year the speakers continue to be excellent

Loved it!

Very good conference-thank you!

Thanks-it was great. Looking forward to future meetings

Use brand and generic names

Great CME conference

Thank you and enjoyable. Great speakers but don't enjoy political jabs however funny they may be

Psych disease lectures were somewhat repetitive. They were still useful overall but could have included less time or more information

Great program overall

Dr. Keith Ferdinand was excellent

Great conference

Great conference as always-thanks

Thank you!

Would love to have access for hands on training

Too many racial overtones and politics injected into a lecture that I was hopeful would be focusing on medical education. Strongly encourage all future lecturers to leave personal bias, political commentary and racial biases out of lectures and just focus on the subject matter

Dr. Ferdinand was awesome! He made learning easy

Great CME experience

Wonderful and informative conference

Very well organized and fast paced which I like

Great content in presentations

I am a NP student and found this course to be extremely helpful

Thank you! Best lipid lecture ever! Wish more time for bipolar talk

Learned so much, resources were great-thank you

The location was awesome! The hotel staff were absolutely wonderful. The food was great

Well organized and informative

I so enjoy this conference each year. I so appreciate Deborah Paschals dedication to bringing this to Charlotte, NC each year. Loved the location-thanks

Dr. Stolar was monotone and hard to listen to

Enjoyed the conference. Good topics and speakers

Thanks-great information. Thoroughly enjoyed the conference

This was my first NACE conference. It was great and thank you for making this possible

Dr. Ferdinand was fantastic

Additional comments:

Response

Great job-thanks

Thank you

Good conference-thank you. Get an endocrinologist to do your insulin talk. Dr. Stolar's recommendations on when to start/dose and how it works is not accurate